## Case3:11-cv-00896-SI Document191 Filed02/14/12 Page1 of 6

1	Stephen C. Seto (SBN 175458) (sseto@sbllp.com)		
2	Connor M. Day (SBN 233245) (cday@sbllp.com) Buchman Provine Brothers Smith LLP		
3	1333 N. California Blvd., Suite 350		
4	Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 944-9700		
5	Facsimile: (925) 944-9701		
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Fidelity National Title		
6	Insurance Company, Commonwealth Land Title		
7	Insurance Company, Ally Bank, Wesley W. Halihan, Gina L. Halihan, Li-Ling Sung, Tiee-Shan Tsai,		
8	Tatyana Madina, Dawn R. Carifi, Karrie L. Hanna,		
9	Brian Phuong, Crichton Friedly and Janet N. Friedly		
10	Thomas J. LaLanne (SBN 55199) (tomlalanne@sbcglobal.net)		
11	Law Offices of Thomas J. LaLanne		
	369 Broadway San Francisco, CA 94133		
12	Tel: (415) 434-1122 Fax: (415) 434-1125		
13	Attorneys for Defendants James C. Castle,		
14	CCTT Group; CJT Financial Group; and Oreplex		
15	International LLC, and Other Defendants  Ann McFarland Draper (SBN 65669) (ann@draperlaw.net)		
16			
17	Draper Law Offices 601 Montgomery Street, Suite 1150		
	San Francisco, California 94111		
18	Tel: (415) 391-4200 Fax 415) 989-4739		
19	Attorneys for Defendant James C. Castle		
20	Attorneys for Defendant sames C. Castic		
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
22			
23	FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE	No. C-11-00896 SI	
24	INSURANCE COMPANY, et al., Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
	vs.	EXTENDING TIME FOR PLAINTIFFS TO	
25	JAMES C. CASTLE aka J.	RESPOND, AND DEFENDANTS TO REPLY, ON DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS	
26	CHRISTOPHER CASTLE at al., and Does 1 through 100, inclusive,	PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED	
27	Defendants.	COMPLAINT	
BUCHMAN PROVINE 8 BROTHERS	256828.1		
SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Extending Time to Respond to Motion to Dismiss (No. C-11-00896 SI)		

1

## **STIPULATION**

2	Defendants Alicia A. Sanders, Jon P. Sanders, Daniel R. Young, Kelly E. Young, Lara
3	Karakasevic, Jolee Lange, Jason Young, CJT Financial Group, GJZ Group and Golden Hills
4	Group, Randall C. Crawford, Fahed M. Eweis, Nadia E. Eweis, Kevin Keith, Christy Keith,
5	Donald Porto, Patricia Porto, David R. Thompson, Melissa A. Thompson, Tisha L. Trites, Tisha
6	Trites Realty, Ryan Styles, James C. Castle, CCTT Group and Oreplex International LLC, Laura
7	M. Pezzi, John-Michael Di Chiara, Shon-Te-East-A, Walks With Spirit, Corporation Sole, Remus
8	Kirkpatrick, Golden Hills Trust and Financial Recovery Group (collectively "Defendants") filed a
9	total of eleven (11) Notices of Motion and Motions to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended
10	Complaint on January 23, 2012 (the "Original Motions") as well as a motion under the Colorado
11	River Doctrine to stay the action as to certain parties and transactions based on two pending state
12	court actions. Thereafter, on January 30, 2012, January 31, 2012 and February 2, 2012,
13	Defendants filed ten (10) Amended Notices of Motion and Motions to Dismiss (the "Amended
14	Motions."). (The motion to stay and one of the motions to dismiss were not amended.) Four (4)
15	of the Amended Motions challenged additional causes of actions in Plaintiffs' Second Amended
16	Complaint that were not challenged in the Original Motions. (The Original Motions and
17	Amended Motions will be collectively referred to as the "Motions"). All of the Motions are set
18	for hearing on March 23, 2012.
19	Plaintiffs' response to Defendants' motion to stay was filed when due on February 6,
20	2012. See Docket No. 140 (motion) and Docket No. 177 (opposition).
21	The Amended Motions of Defendants Alicia A. Sanders, Jon P. Sanders, Daniel R.

22

Young, Kelly E. Young, Lara Karakasevic, Jolee Lange, Jason Young, CJT Financial Group, GJZ

23

Group and Golden Hills Group, Randall C. Crawford, Fahed M. Eweis, Nadia E. Eweis, Kevin

24

Keith, Christy Keith, Donald Porto, Patricia Porto, David R. Thompson and Melissa A.

25

Thompson were filed on January 30, 2012 and Plaintiffs' responses to said Amended Motions are

26

due on or before February 13, 2012. See Docket Nos. 154, 155, 156, 157 and 158.

27

was filed on January 31, 2012 and Plaintiffs' response to said Amended Motion is due on or

The Amended Motion of Defendants Tisha L. Trites, Tisha Trites Realty and Ryan Styles

28

256828.1 -2-

before February 14, 2012. See Docket No. 159. 1 The Amended Motions of Defendants James C. Castle, CCTT Group and Oreplex 2 3 International LLC, Laura M. Pezzi, John-Michael Di Chiara, Shon-Te-East-A, Walks With Spirit, 4 Corporation Sole, Remus Kirkpatrick, Golden Hills Trust and Financial Recovery Group were 5 filed on February 2, 2012 and Plaintiffs' responses to said Amended Motions are due on or before 6 February 16, 2012. See Docket Nos. 173, 174 and 175. 7 The Original Motion of Defendant Todd J. Smith was not amended but pursuant to 8 stipulation and order Plaintiffs' response thereto is due on or before February 13, 2012. See 9 Docket No. 178 (order on stipulation). 10 Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 6-2(a) permits parties to file a stipulation 11 changing the time that would affect the date of a deadline fixed by Court order, Local Rules or 12 Federal Rules. In light of the large number of defendants who have filed Motions (a total of thirty 13 (30) Defendants and eleven (11) Motions), the complexity of the factual transactions underlying 14 the litigation, the complexity of the facts and legal arguments set forth in Defendants' Motions 15 and the various acts and roles of the individual Defendants as alleged in the Second Amended 16 Complaint, the parties have agreed: (A) that Plaintiffs may have additional time, to and including 17 Thursday, March 1, 2012, in which to respond to all eleven (11) Motions filed by Defendants; (B) 18 that Defendants' reply papers, if any, shall be due on March 9, 2012; and (C) that Defendants' 19 reply papers on the motion to stay shall also be due on March 9, 2012. 20 Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between Plaintiffs and 21 Defendants as follows: (A) that Plaintiffs may have additional time, to and including Thursday, 22 March 1, 2012, in which to respond to all eleven (11) Motions to dismiss filed by Defendants; (B) 23 that Defendants' reply papers, if any, shall be due on March 9, 2012; and (C) that Defendants' 24 reply papers on Defendants' motion to stay shall also be due on March 9, 2012. 25 DATED: February 9, 2012 **BUCHMAN PROVINE BROTHERS SMITH LLP** 

26

27

28

By: /s/ Connor M. Dav STEPHEN C. SETO CONNOR M. DAY Attorneys for Plaintiffs

256828.1

-3-

1	1 DATED: February 9, 2012 LAW OF	FICES OF THOMAS J. LALANNE		
2	<b>II</b>	/g/Thomas I I al anno		
3	$\frac{1}{2}$ By:	/s/ Thomas J. LaLanne HOMAS J. LALANNE		
4 5	(al CJ	torneys for Defendants James C. Castle ka J. Christopher Castle), CCTT Group; T Financial Group; and Oreplex International		
6	ll .	C and other defendants		
7	7 DATED: February 9, 2012 DRAPER	R LAW OFFICES		
8	8 By:	/s/ Ann McFarland Draper		
9	9 $\overline{A}$	NN McFARLAND DRAPER		
10	At (al	torneys for Defendant James C. Castle ka J. Christopher Castle)		
11		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
12	12			
13	<u>DECLARATION OF COUNSEL</u>			
14	I, Connor M. Day, hereby declare as follows:			
15	1. I am an attorney with the law office of Buchman Provine Brothers & Smith LLF			
16	attorneys of record herein for Plaintiffs Fidelity National Title Insurance Company			
17	Commonwealth Land Title Insurance Company, Ally Bank, Wesley W. Halihan, Gina L			
18	Halihan, Li-Ling Sung, Tiee-Shan Tsai, Tatyana Madina, Dawn R. Carifi, Karrie L. Hanna, Bria			
19	Phuong, Crichton Friedly and Janet N. Friedly (collectively, "Plaintiffs"). I am duly licensed to			
20	practice before all of the courts of the State of California.			
21	2. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and could and would testify			
22	competently thereto if called upon to do so.	competently thereto if called upon to do so.		
23	3. Pursuant to Northern District or	3. Pursuant to Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 6-2(a), I submit thi		
24	declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Stipulation	on and [Proposed] Order Extending the Time for		
25	Plaintiffs' to Respond to Defendants Motions to	Plaintiffs' to Respond to Defendants Motions to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint		
26	4. Currently, the thirty (30) defend	4. Currently, the thirty (30) defendants represented by Thomas J. LaLanne have file		
27	a total of eleven (11) motions to dismiss Pla	a total of eleven (11) motions to dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint. Plaintiffs		
28	responses to defendants' motions to dismiss are due on February 13, 2012, February 14, 2012 and			
VINE S	E 256828.1	-4-		

BUCHMAN PROV BROTHERS SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW February 16, 2012. In addition, defendants also filed a motion to stay under the Colorado River Doctrine, to which Plaintiffs have already filed their opposition and for which defendants' reply papers are currently due on February 13, 2012.

- In light of the large number of defendants who have filed Motions to dismiss (a total of thirty (30) Defendants and eleven (11) Motions), the complexity of the factual transactions underlying the litigation, the complexity of the facts and legal arguments set forth in Defendants' Motions and the various acts and roles of the individual Defendants as alleged in the Second Amended Complaint, the parties have agreed that Plaintiffs may have additional time, to and including Thursday, March 1, 2012, in which to respond to all eleven (11) of the Motions (to dismiss) filed by Defendants; and accordingly the parties have also agreed that Defendants' reply papers on the eleven (11) Motions to dismiss, as well as Defendants' reply papers on the motion to stay, shall all be due on Friday, March 9, 2012.
- All eleven (11) motions to dismiss filed by the defendants are scheduled to be heard by this Court on March 23, 2012 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 10. In addition, a case management conference is currently scheduled for April 13, 2012. In light of the usual notice and briefing schedule for motions in this district, I do not believe that extending the time within which Plaintiffs can respond to Defendants' Motions will have any effect on the current schedule of this case or the hearing date of March 23, 2012.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. This declaration is executed at Walnut Creek, California, this 9th

> /s/ Connor M. Day CONNOR M. DAY

28

BUCHMAN PROVINE

BROTHERS SMITH LLP 256828.1

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO THE FOREDOING STIPULATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED 2 3 THAT the time for Plaintiffs to file responses to the Amended Notices of Motion and Motions to 4 Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint filed on January 30, 2012, January 31, 2012 and 5 February 2, 2012 by defendants Alicia A. Sanders, Jon P. Sanders, Daniel R. Young, Kelly E. Young, Lara Karakasevic, Jolee Lange, Jason Young, CJT Financial Group, GJZ Group and 6 7 Golden Hills Group, Randall C. Crawford, Fahed M. Eweis, Nadia E. Eweis, Kevin Keith, 8 Christy Keith, Donald Porto, Patricia Porto, David R. Thompson, Melissa A. Thompson, Tisha L. 9 Trites, Tisha Trites Realty, Ryan Styles, James C. Castle, CCTT Group and Oreplex International 10 LLC, Laura M. Pezzi, John-Michael Di Chiara, Shon-Te-East-A, Walks With Spirit, Corporation 11 Sole, Remus Kirkpatrick, Golden Hills Trust and Financial Recovery Group, shall be extended to 12 March 1, 2012. 13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the time for Plaintiffs to file a response to defendant 14 Todd J. Smith's Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint 15 shall be extended to March 1, 2012. 16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the Defendants shall have to and including March 9, 17 **2012**, in which to file their reply papers on the motion to stay and on the eleven (11) motions to 18 dismiss. 19 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 Dated: February 142012 22 Untied States District Judge 23 24 25 26 27 28

BUCHMAN PROVINE BROTHERS SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

256828.1

-6-